United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,652	03/30/2004	Douglas W. Potter	M61.12-0610	5218
27366 7590 02/04/2008 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1400	•	MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS		
	900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2626	
			MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE
•		•	02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/813,652	POTTER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Susan McFadden	2626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aportion or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I consists of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 l	December 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-41 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* 6	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not receive	3 0.			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/813,652

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12-11-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The 112 and 101 rejections still stand. Claim 1 is written in the passive tense, "selectable by a client", "configured to receive" and only contains one item, "a set of classes" which is still a single means or feature claim. In regard to the 101 rejection, the body of the claim does not claim a useful, physical, concrete, input or output of the model.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is a single means claim which is unpatentable. Claim 1 should be written in an active tense. "Configured to receive" and "selectable by a client" is not definite language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/813,652

Art Unit: 2626

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 1 is drawn to an algorithm, per se, or program performing such or medium resulting from such. Claims to processes that do nothing more than solve mathematical problems or manipulate abstract ideas or concepts are non-statutory. If the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 294-95,30 USPQZd at 1458-59. Thus, a process consisting solely of mathematical operations without some claimed practical application is drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In this case, the claims merely recite "a set of classes", without specifically outputting anything useful to a user (visually or audibly).

The features of the invention that would render the claimed subject matter statutory if recited in the claim is to include data input to the system and how it is measured and converted to the desired data. This would place the claims into a so-called "safe harbor" by requiring a physical act outside a computer (the physical input of speech and subsequent change of physical attributes thereof).

Another option would be to add limitations that indicate the practical use of the resultant data in an overall system.

For the claimed process to be statutory, the claim must either: (A) result in a physical transformation <u>outside</u> the computer for which a practical application is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan (precomputer or post-computer process activity), or (B) be limited to a practical application.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,652

Art Unit: 2626

incation/Control Number: 10/010,0

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,652

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2626

January 30, 2008